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CALL TO ORDER

Chair Debbie Leistner, Gainesville Transportation Planning Manager, called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m.

I. INTRODUCTIONS

Chair Leistner introduced herself and asked other to introduce themselves.

II. APPROVAL OF THE MEETING AGENDA

Chair Leistner asked for approval of the agenda.

MOTION: Dean Mimms moved to approve the meeting agenda amended to place V. Year 2040 Long Range Transportation Plan- Vision Statement, Principles and Strategies before IV. University Avenue Multimodal Study- Existing Conditions. Matthew Muller seconded; motion passed unanimously.

III. APPROVAL OF COMMITTEE MINUTES

Chair Leistner asked for approval of the May 21, 2014 minutes.

MOTION: Dean Mimms moved to approve the revised May 21, 2013 TAC minutes. Matthew Muller seconded; motion passed unanimously.
V. YEAR 2040 LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN- VISION STATEMENT, PRINCIPLES AND STRATEGIES

Mr. Escalante stated that the MTPO referred the draft vision statement, principles and strategies to its staff and advisory committees. He reported that the MTPO thought that they were long, redundant and unbalanced.

Mr. Wiley Page, Atkins Project Manager, and Wiatt Bowers, Atkins Project Manager, discussed the revised draft vision statement, principles and strategies.

MOTION: Jeff Hays moved to recommend the MTPO approve the Vision Statement, Principles and Strategies as modified in Exhibit 1. Linda Dixon seconded; motion passed unanimously.

IV. UNIVERSITY AVENUE MULTIMODAL STUDY- EXISTING CONDITIONS

Mr. Escalante stated that Mr. Bruce Landis, Sprinkle Consulting Vice President, was present to discuss the University Avenue Multimodal Study existing conditions.

Mr. Landis discussed the University Avenue corridor study project timeline, existing conditions and answered questions.

VI. STATISTICALLY VALID TELEPHONE SURVEY

Mr. Escalante stated that the MTPO requested a cost estimate for a statistically valid survey similar to the one that was conducted in 2005. He said that the University of Florida Survey Research Center was contacted to develop an updated survey. He reviewed the survey and answered questions.

MOTION: Jeff Hays moved to have staff ask University of Florida Survey Research Center how the students are reached that do not have landlines and/or local area codes. Dean Mimms seconded.

FRIENDLY AMENDMENT: Dean Mimms asked to include the mode edits discussed by the TAC.

ORIGINAL MOTION AS AMENDED:

Jeff Hays moved to have staff ask University of Florida Survey Research Center how the students are reached that do not have landlines and/or local area codes and revise the Survey to include the RTS staff recommendation (Exhibits 2 and 3). Dean Mimms seconded; motion passed unanimously.

VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS

There was no discussion of the information items.
ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

Date 11/19/14

Debbie Leistner, Chair
EXHIBIT 1

Vision Statement (Map 21- Subsection (a) (1))

A transportation system that is safe and efficient, serves the mobility needs of people and freight, and fosters economic prosperity while minimizing transportation-related fuel consumption and air pollution.

Principles [shown in bold- Map 21 (h) (1)] and Strategies (shown in italics)

Principle 1: Support economic vitality

| Strategy 1.1: Support transportation projects that promote economic prosperity development through job creation. |

| Strategy 1.2: Construct new roads and/or widen existing roads that allow for the expansion of existing commercial centers. |

| Strategy 1.3-2: Support projects that improve connectivity to existing or planned economic centers. |

Principle 2: Increase safety and security for motorized and nonmotorized users

| Strategy 2.1: Support projects that increase safety for all users, such as improved access management to reduce crashes, construction of variable message signs to warn motorists of unsafe conditions, provision of sidewalks, transit, and bicycle facilities on new roads and late night transit services to deter drunk driving. |

| Strategy 2.2: Implement techniques and road design to reduce fatalities and serious injuries from common intersection crashes and lane departures. |

| Strategy 2.3: Support projects that increase security for all users of transit, such as adequate lighting at bus stops, equipment on buses and transit facilities to monitor/prevent harmful activity, and adequate bicycle parking facilities. |

| Strategy 2.4: Support encourage development of alternative fuel sources and multimodal infrastructure to provide continuing transportation services in the event of scarcity. |

| Strategy 2.5: Coordinate with appropriate agencies to accommodate variable and unexpected transportation network conditions/ incident management and emergency management. |
Principle 3: Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and freight

Strategy 3.1: Improve the level of service for roads using transportation system management strategies (such as computerized traffic signal systems, motorist information systems and incident management systems) and transportation demand management strategies (such as carpools, transit, bicycling, walking, telecommuting and flexible work schedules).

Strategy 3.2: Encourage the construction of bus bays (turnouts) on major road corridors.

Strategy 3.3: Preserve the intended function of roads on the Florida Strategic Intermodal System for intercity travel and freight movement.

Strategy 3.4: Expand transit service to improve accessibility, availability and competitiveness of transit as a viable travel option.

Principle 4: Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns

Strategy 4.1: Support land use designations and encourage development plans that reduce vehicle miles traveled and are transit-supportive.

Strategy 4.2: Develop and expand a trail-network that provides multi-modal transportation opportunities for bicyclists and pedestrians.

Strategy 4.3: Reduce adverse impacts of transportation on the environment, including habitat and ecosystem fragmentation, wildlife collisions and non-point source pollution.

Strategy 4.4: Coordinate transportation and future land use decisions to promote efficient development patterns and a choice of transportation modes consistent with local government comprehensive plans.

Principle 5: Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight

Strategy 5.1: Construct park-and-ride lots, transit intermodal centers and freight intermodal centers at appropriate locations.

Strategy 5.2: Provide adequate sidewalks to all bus stops and bicycle racks on all buses.
Principle 6: Promote efficient system management and operation

Strategy 6.1: Develop a transportation system that disperses traffic across the local transportation grid rather than concentrating traffic on a few major roads.

Strategy 6.2: Encourage the development of employment and service centers that are located to and location of employment and service centers that reduce travel distances from residential areas and to transit services.

Encourage the development and location of employment and service that reduce travel distances from residential areas to transit services.

Strategy 6.3: Continue to implement a coordinated traffic signal system plan to improve road efficiency and to maintain traffic flow.

Strategy 6.4: Continue to implement complete streets that maximize the efficiency of the transportation system.

Principle 7: Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system

Strategy 7.1: Give a priority in funding to road preservation, maintenance projects, and low-cost improvements. Direct sufficient resources to preserve existing transportation infrastructure.

Strategy 7.2: Protect existing and future road rights-of-way from building encroachment.
Please see attached. Jesus may have more comments.

MM

From: Marlie Sanderson [sanderson@ncfrpc.org]
Sent: Friday, June 06, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Muller, Mathew R.
Cc: Scott Koons; Gomez, Jesus M.; Mike Escalante
Subject: FW: Survey

Matt-

At the June 2nd meeting, the MTPO discussed the attached “statistically valid” transportation survey that was conducted in 2005. The MTPO members that were present indicated that they are interested in doing another similar telephone survey, but they want the chance to review and approve the final survey questions that will be asked.

We are currently working with the University of Florida, Survey Research Center, to rework the 2005 survey to remove any items that are not currently relevant and to incorporate more items pertaining to topics of current interest. Please let us know if you have any recommended revisions you would like to see made to the 2005 survey.

If possible, we would like to have your comments by the end of next week (Friday June 13th) because we plan to meet with UF staff on either May 16, 17 or 18.

Thanks, Marlie

Please note: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from government officials regarding government business are public records available to the public and media upon request. Your e-mail communications may be subject to public disclosure.
EXHIBIT 2

From: Copier2 [mailto:ncfrpc@ncfrpc.org]
Sent: Friday, June 06, 2014 9:32 AM
To: Marlie Sanderson
Subject: Attached Image
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column A</th>
<th>Column B</th>
<th>Column C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item 1</td>
<td>Data 1</td>
<td>Value 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 2</td>
<td>Data 2</td>
<td>Value 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 3</td>
<td>Data 3</td>
<td>Value 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 4</td>
<td>Data 4</td>
<td>Value 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 5</td>
<td>Data 5</td>
<td>Value 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 6</td>
<td>Data 6</td>
<td>Value 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 7</td>
<td>Data 7</td>
<td>Value 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 8</td>
<td>Data 8</td>
<td>Value 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item 9</td>
<td>Data 9</td>
<td>Value 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The table above is a placeholder for the actual content of the document.
This page contains no comments.
EXHIBIT 3

Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area Survey

PHONE SURVEY

Hello, I'm calling from the University of Florida for the organization responsible for setting transportation priorities for the Gainesville Metropolitan Area, known as the Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area. We'd like to ask an adult (age 18+) in your household a few questions about the transportation issues that they feel are most important. This survey is part of the process of setting long-range goals for the transportation system in the greater Gainesville area. (This should only take around 10 minutes of your time.)

[Respondent selection: Resident Adult with the most recent birthday.]
Can I speak to the adult (18+ who lives in your household) who had the most recent birthday?

Before we begin, there are a few things I'd like you to know:
*Your phone number was selected at random.
*Anything you say will be confidential.
*You don't have to answer any question you don't want to.
*Your answers won't be linked to your name, and this survey should take around 10 minutes.
*Finally, this call may be recorded for quality control purposes.

Do you have any questions?

Q1. What is your 5-digit Zip code (where you live)?

Q2. In the past work week, how many days have you used the following in Gainesville or some other part of Alachua County:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Sidewalks?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Gainesville Regional Transit System bus service?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Special dedicated bus or van service for senior citizens or the disabled?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>In-street bike lanes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Off-street bike paths?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f.</td>
<td>The roadway system (as a car driver or as a passenger)?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q3. Would you support increases in any of the following areas to improve your local transportation system?

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a.</td>
<td>Gasoline tax?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b.</td>
<td>Local sales tax?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>Local property tax?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d.</td>
<td>Auto tag fees?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.</td>
<td>Another source of revenue?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q4. What would you like to see the additional revenue used for?

[Open end Response]
Q5. Your local government budgets for community transportation needs. Please tell me how you would rate the importance of spending money on each of the following. We'll use a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means you feel the proposal is Very Important and 1 means you feel it is NOT Important at All.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Very Important</th>
<th>Not Important at All</th>
<th>No Opinion/Don't Know/Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Building sidewalks</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Expanding bus service hours during the work week</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Expanding bus service hours on the weekends</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Having the bus come by on existing routes more often</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Add new bus routes to areas without transit service</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Provide more bus or van service to those who cannot drive (the elderly or disabled)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Upgrading intersections by adding turn lanes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Add lanes on existing roads</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Building new roads</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. Building bicycle lanes and/or paths</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q7. If you had $100 to spend on ROADS, BUSES, BICYCLE PATHS, SIDEWALKS how much would you spend on...
(Interviewer: REMEMBER ALLOCATION MUST ADD TO $100.)

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>$ _______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Service</td>
<td>$ _______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle Facilities</td>
<td>$ _______</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian Facilities</td>
<td>$ _______</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demographics

Q8. How many years have you lived in Gainesville or Alachua County?

    _______ Enter # years

Q9. Do you live in the Gainesville city limits?

    1. Yes
    2. No
    -9. Refused

Q10. How many people, including yourself, live in your household?

Q11. How many people in your household work at least 20 hours per week outside the home?

Q12. How many registered motor vehicles are there in your household? (Motor vehicles include passenger cars, pick-up trucks, sport utility vehicles, vans/minivans, and motorcycles.)

Q13. How many people in your household are licensed drivers?
Q14. Is there a disabled person with special transportation needs in your household?
   1. Yes
   2. No
   -9. Refused

Q15. Record gender [Ask only if needed]
   1. Male
   2. Female

Q16a. What is your age?

Q16b. (If Q16a is refused) Into which of the following age categories do you fall?
   1. 18 to 34
   2. 35 to 54
   3. 55 to 64
   4. 65 or older
   -9. Refused

Q17. Are you of Spanish or Hispanic origin?
   1. Yes (Spanish or hispanic)
   2. No (Not Spanish or Hispanic)
   -8. Don’t Know
   -9. Refused

Q18. What race do you consider yourself?
   (INT: READ CHOICES IF NECESSARY)
   1. White (Caucasian)
   2. Black (African-American)
   3. Asian or Pacific Islander
   4. American Indian or Alaska native
   5. Other
   6. Multi-racial or mixed race
   -8. Don’t Know
   -9. Refused

That's all the questions I have. Thank you for your help.