

MEETING SUMMARY
GAINESVILLE URBANIZED AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY
METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MTPO)
DESIGN TEAM

NCFRPC Conference Room
Gainesville, Florida

Tuesday, 1:00 p.m.
February 20, 2001

MEMBERS PRESENT	MEMBERS ABSENT	OTHERS PRESENT	STAFF PRESENT
Linda Dixon, Chair E. J. Bolduc Jesus Gomez Marty Humphries Brian Kanely Meg Niederhofer Melissa Norman Dom Nozzi Cindy Smith Tim Strauser Suraya Teiple	Bill Lecher Gwendolyn Pra Chris Roeder Reid Rivers	Larry Hines Doreen Joyner-Howard Gail Johns Julia Reiskind	Marlie Sanderson Gerry Dedenbach Michael Escalante

I. CALL TO ORDER / INTRODUCTIONS

Chair Linda Dixon, City of Gainesville Transportation Planning Analyst, called the meeting to order at 1:10 p.m. and asked for introductions.

II. AGENDA APPROVAL

Mr. Gerry Dedenbach, MTPO Senior Transportation Planner, asked the Design Team to approve the meeting agenda.

ACTION: Cindy Smith moved to approve the meeting agenda. Jesus Gomez seconded; motion passed unanimously.

III. SW 34TH STREET RESURFACING (FROM ARCHER ROAD TO W UNIVERSITY AVENUE)

Mr. Dedenbach stated that the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) requested an opportunity to discuss 30 percent plans of the SW 34th Street Resurfacing Project.

Mr. Marty Humphries, FDOT Roadway Design Engineer, distributed and discussed 60 percent plans for the SW 34th Street Resurfacing Project and also answered questions.

Mr. E.J. Bolduc noted that he would not be voting on this project due to conflict of interest concerns. Mr. Brian Kanely, City of Gainesville Transportation Services Manager, discussed a SW 34th

Street cross-section proposal and answered questions.

Chair Dixon noted that there was consensus from the Design Team regarding the resurfacing of the access management configuration of the SR 26 and SR 26A intersections.

ACTION: Brian Kanely moved to recommend that the MTPO approve the access management throughout the project, as submitted by FDOT, with the following modifications:

1. extend the northbound left turnlanes at SW 20th Avenue and SW 24th Avenue; and
2. construct a directional median opening at Station 947.

Meg Niederhofer seconded; motion passed 10-0, with one abstention.

ACTION: Brian Kanely moved to recommend that the MTPO approve the limits of the resurfacing project in the plans submitted by FDOT. Meg Niederhofer seconded; motion passed 10-0, with one abstention.

ACTION: Tim Strauser moved to recommend that the MTPO request that FDOT study the feasibility of the using the bicycle shared-use arrow to the outside lanes. Dom Nozzi seconded; motion passed 10-0, with one abstention.

ACTION: Meg Niederhofer moved to recommend that the MTPO request that FDOT:

1. construct the sliplanes and raised islands configuration (see Exhibit 1) as the preferred option;
2. shift funding from the SW 34th Street Resurfacing Project to the State Roads 26 and 26A projects, if it is prudent to install the mastarm traffic signals with the State Roads 26 and 26A projects; and
3. look into using a five lane cross-section between State Roads 26 and 26A, if the sliplane configuration cannot be used.

Dom Nozzi seconded; motion passed 10-0, with one abstention.

IV. STATE ROAD 121 RESURFACING (FROM US 441 TO NW 202ND PLACE)

Mr. Dedenbach stated that FDOT requested an opportunity to discuss 30 percent plans of the State Road 121 Resurfacing Project.

Mr. Humphries discussed the State Road 121 Resurfacing Project and answered questions.

ACTION: Brian Kanely moved to recommend that the MTPO approve the SR 121 Resurfacing Project design with the caveat that FDOT would research the feasibility of:

- 1. constructing a left turn lane, onto SR 121, on NW 67th Place; and**
- 2. designating bikelanes in the urbanized area.**

Jesus Gomez seconded; motion passed unanimously.

V. UPCOMING MEETINGS

Mr. Dedenbach stated that the next MTPO meeting is scheduled for March 1 and the next Design Team meeting is scheduled for March 20.

Chair Dixon noted that some Design Team members would be participating in a teleconference on the morning of March 20 Design Team meeting and asked whether to delay the meeting.

It was a consensus of the Design Team to meet at 2:30 p.m. on March 20.

VI. INFORMATION ITEMS

There was no discussion of the information items.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:38 p.m.